

# Financial Fragility and Fluctuations in a World With Multi-Heterogeneous Agents

Carl Chiarella<sup>1</sup>    Simone Giansante<sup>2 3</sup>  
Serena Sordi<sup>3</sup>    Alessandro Vercelli<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Finance and Economics, UTS, Australia

<sup>2</sup>CCFEA, University of Essex, United Kingdom

<sup>3</sup>DEPFID, University of Siena, Italy

The complexity of financial crisis in a long-period perspective: facts, theory and models  
Siena 2009

# Outline

- 1 Motivations**
- 2 The Model**
  - Framework
  - Financial Units
  - Market Maker
  - Financial Ratios
  - Dynamics
- 3 Preliminar Results**
  - Test 1: Unit's financial fragility
  - Test 2: Market Maker reaction
  - Test 3: Units interaction (very pleriminary!)
- 4 Conclusions**

## Motivations

- The paper extends an approach to financial fragility analysis initiated by **Vercelli (2000)** and further developed by **Sordi & Vercelli (2006)** and by **Dieci, Sordi & Vercelli (2006)**, where financial fluctuations are the result of the dynamic interaction between current and inter-temporal financial ratios.
- The model considered in the previous contributions is a simple prototype model that is proposed in order to describe the complex dynamics of a sophisticated monetary economy, i.e., an economy that has fully developed financial infrastructures and interrelations.

## Motivations

- It is a widely recognized fact that the financial constraints and objectives of economic agents have assumed a crucial role in shaping their behaviour.
- The analysis of the financial determinants of economic behaviour is therefore becoming a general issue that affects the entire economy. In this case it is reasonable to model all decision makers as financial units, focusing on the interaction between their **current** and **intertemporal financial constraints**.

# The Model

- The main novelty of this work is the attempt to provide micro- economic foundations to the model, in order to better understand the complex dynamic behaviour generated in the above mentioned works and its policy implications
- The framework is modelled as a multi-heterogeneous agent model which proceeds through discrete time steps within a finite time horizon.
- The agents take decisions on the basis of limited rationality constraints
  - they are liable to systematic mistakes in forming their expectations

## Agent-based model


- Our model proceeds through discrete timesteps. A set of  $N$  financial units (each labelled by a roman index  $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) interacts at each timestep  $t$ .
- Financial units are heterogeneous in terms of their exchange strategies for the only risky asset in the economy.

## Demand and Supply curves

We assume linear supply curve and hyperbolic demand curve for each financial unit

$$p = f(q^S) \rightarrow p_t = \alpha_{i,t} q_{i,t}^S \Rightarrow q_{i,t}^S = \frac{p_t}{\alpha_{i,t}}$$

$$p = f(q^D) \rightarrow p_t = \frac{\beta_{i,t}}{q_{i,t}^D} \Rightarrow q_{i,t}^D = \frac{\beta_{i,t}}{p_t}$$



## Individual current inflow and outflow

Thus, the individual current inflow ( $y_i$ ) and outflow ( $e_i$ ) at time  $t$  are given by

$$y_{i,t} = p_t q_{i,t}^S = \frac{p_t^2}{\alpha_{i,t}},$$

$$e_{i,t} = p_t q_{i,t}^D = \beta_{i,t},$$

Therefore, the current realized financial ratio of the financial unit  $i$  ( $c_{i,t}$ ) at time  $t$  is given by

$$c_{i,t} = \frac{e_{i,t}}{y_{i,t}} = \frac{\alpha_{i,t} \beta_{i,t}}{p_t^2}.$$

## Market Maker

The individual demand and supply quantities of the  $N$  units are aggregated according to

$$D_t = \sum_{i=1}^N q_{i,t}^D, \quad S_t = \sum_{i=1}^N q_{i,t}^S.$$

Once  $D_t$  and  $S_t$  have been computed, the market maker clears the market by taking an offsetting position and computes the price for the next period by using the rule

$$p_{t+1} = p_t + \lambda_M \left( \frac{D_t - S_t}{D_t} \right)$$

where  $\lambda_M$  is the market maker's speed of adjument of the price to excess demand.

## Desired and Intertemporal ratios

Each financial unit  $i$ , at each time  $t$ , computes its desired current financial ratio ( $k_{i,t}$ ), on the basis of the recursive relationship (see Vercelli (2000), Sordi & Vercelli (2006), Dieci et al. (2006))

$$k_{i,t+1} = \max \left\{ k_{i,t} - \lambda_{k_i} \left[ k_{i,t}^* - (1 - \mu^i) \right], 0 \right\},$$

where the intertemporal financial ratio of unit  $i$  ( $k_{i,t}^*$ ) at time  $t$  is given by


$$k_{i,t}^* = \frac{\sum_{s=0}^{T_i} \mathbb{E}_t [e_{i,t+s}] / (1 + \rho_{i,t})^s}{\sum_{s=0}^{T_i} \mathbb{E}_t [y_{i,t+s}] / (1 + \rho_{i,t})^s},$$

with  $\rho_{i,t}$  being the discount factor for the financial unit  $i$  at time  $t$  and  $T_i$  is its time horizon.

## Expected inflation

When agents come to form expectations about inflows and outflows in future periods we assume that they expect these to grow by the accumulation of their expectation of inflation over the next period.

$$\pi_{t|t+s-1,t+s}^i = \mathbb{E}_t^{(i)} \left[ \frac{p_{t+s} - p_{t+s-1}}{p_{t+s-1}} \right] = \begin{cases} \text{the expectation at time } t \\ \text{of inflation over the period} \\ (t + s - 1, t + s) \end{cases}$$



We assume that the relation between individual discount factors, nominal interest rate  $r_t$  and the individual expected inflation rate from  $t$  to  $t + 1$  has the form

$$1 + \rho_{i,t} = (1 + r_t) \left(1 + \pi_{t,t+1}^i\right)$$

Agent  $i$  assumes  $\pi_{t|t+s-1,t+s} = \pi_{t|t,t+1}^i \equiv \pi_{t,t+1}^i$ .

Then, we can rewrite  $k^*$  as follow

$$\begin{aligned} k_{i,t}^* &= \frac{\sum_{s=0}^{T_i} \mathbb{E}_t [\mathbf{e}_{i,t+s}] / (1 + \rho_{i,t})^s}{\sum_{s=0}^{T_i} \mathbb{E}_t [\mathbf{y}_{i,t+s}] / (1 + \rho_{i,t})^s} \\ &= c_{i,t} \left[ \frac{(1 + r_t)^{T_i+1} - 1}{r_t} \right] \left[ \frac{r_t - \pi_{t,t+1}^i}{(1 + r_t)^{T_i+1} - (1 + \pi_{t,t+1}^i)^{T_i+1}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

## Expected Inflation

After the market maker announces  $p_{t+1}$ , each financial unit updates its expected inflation for the next period according to the simple adaptive rule

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{t,t+1}^i &= \pi_{t-1,t}^i + \lambda_\pi^i \left( \frac{p_t - p_{t-1}}{p_{t-1}} - \pi_{t-1,t}^i \right) \\ &= \lambda_\pi^i \left( \frac{p_t - p_{t-1}}{p_{t-1}} \right) + \left( 1 - \lambda_\pi^i \right) \pi_{t-1,t}^i.\end{aligned}$$

## Demand and Supply curves

The desired financial ratio  $k_{i,t+1}$  affects the demand and supply curves in the following way

$$\beta_{i,t+1} = k_{i,t+1}\beta_{i,t},$$

$$\alpha_{i,t+1} = k_{i,t+1}\alpha_{i,t}.$$

## Parameters

The agent-based framework presented in this paper has been implemented in Java using JAS library.


We performed several simulation under the following parameters configuration:

- $p_0 = 1.2$ ;
- $r = 0.05$ ;
- $\pi_0^i = 0.04$ ;
- $\lambda_k^i = 0.04$ ;
- $\lambda_\pi^i = 0.5$ ;


Test 1: Unit's financial fragility

# Test 1: Financial Ratios - $\lambda_M = 0.3$


$$1 - \mu = 0.9$$



$$1 - \mu = 0.7$$




$$1 - \mu = 0.8$$




Test 1: Unit's financial fragility

**Test 1: Price impact -  $\lambda_M = 0.3$** 


$$1 - \mu = 0.9$$



$$1 - \mu = 0.7$$




$$1 - \mu = 0.8$$




Test 1: Unit's financial fragility

**Test 1: Expected inflation rate -  $\lambda_M = 0.3$** 


$$1 - \mu = 0.9$$



$$1 - \mu = 0.7$$




$$1 - \mu = 0.8$$




Test 2: Market Maker reaction

**Test 2: Price -  $1 - \mu = 0.8$** 


$$\lambda_{MM} = 0.1$$



$$\lambda_{MM} = 0.5$$




$$\lambda_{MM} = 0.3$$




Test 2: Market Maker reaction

# Test 2: Financial ratios - $1 - \mu = 0.8$


$$\lambda_{MM} = 0.1$$



$$\lambda_{MM} = 0.5$$




$$\lambda_{MM} = 0.3$$




Test 3: Units interaction (very pleriminary!)

**Test 3: two units -  $1 - \mu^1 = 0.8$ ,  $1 - \mu^2 = 0.75$  and  $\lambda_{MM} = 0.3$**


## Unit 1 - Financial ratios




## Market price



## Unit 2 - Financial ratios



## Individual expected inflation



## Conclusions

- We extends the macro-financial fragility model presented in **Dieci, Sordi & Vercelli (2006)**, providing the micro-foundation of the model
- The framework has been modelled as an heterogeneous agent model
- The financial unit decision is not fully rational

## Further developments

- Introduce budget constraint and borrowing
- Endogenize the evolution of  $r$  based on different monetary policies
- Endogenize the individual financial fragility

**Thank you for your attention!!!**